

United Nations General Assembly Special Session on HIV/AIDS

Monitoring the Declaration of Commitment on HIV/AIDS

GUIDELINES ON CONSTRUCTION OF CORE INDICATORS



UNAIDS/02.51E (English original, August 2002) ISBN 92-9173-238-9

© Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS) 2002.

All rights reserved. This document, which is not a formal publication of UNAIDS, may be freely reviewed, quoted, reproduced or translated, in part or in full, provided the source is acknowledged. The document may not be sold or used in conjunction with commercial purposes without prior written approval from UNAIDS (contact: UNAIDS Information Centre).

The views expressed in documents by named authors are solely the responsibility of those authors.

The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this work do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of UNAIDS concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers and boundaries.

The mention of specific companies or of certain manufacturers' products does not imply that they are endorsed or recommended by UNAIDS in preference to others of a similar nature that are not mentioned. Errors and omissions excepted, the names of proprietary products are distinguished by initial capital letters.

United Nations General Assembly Special Session on HIV/AIDS

Monitoring the Declaration of Commitment on HIV/AIDS

GUIDELINES ON CONSTRUCTION OF CORE INDICATORS

Table of contents

Acknowledgements	4
Acronyms	4
Introduction Background Purpose Global and national indicators for implementation of the Declaration of Commitment Guidelines on indicator construction Measurement tools Methods of measurement Interpretation Reporting	999
Core indicators for implementation of the Declaration of Commitment	11
Global commitment and action indicators 1. International funding for HIV/AIDS 2. Public funding for research and development 3. Workplace HIV/AIDS control in transnational companies 4. Workplace HIV/AIDS control in international organizations 5. HIV/AIDS advocacy	13 14 15 16 17
National commitment and action indicators 1. Government funding for HIV/AIDS 2. Government HIV/AIDS policies	19 20 21
National programme and behaviour indicators 1. Life-skills-based HIV/AIDS education in schools 2. Workplace HIV/AIDS control 3. Sexually transmitted infections: comprehensive case management 4. Prevention of MCTC: antiretroviral prophylaxis 5. HIV treatment: antiretroviral combination therapy 6. Injecting drug users: safe injecting and sexual practices 7. Young people's knowledge about HIV prevention 8. Young people's condom use with non-regular partners 9. Orphans' school attendance	23 24 27 30 33 36 39 41 44 48
Impact indicators 1. Reduction in HIV prevalence 2. Reduction in mother-to-child transmission	53 54 58
Appendices 1. Reporting schedule for core indicators for implementation of the Declaration of Commitment on HIV/AIDS	61 62
 Consultation/preparation process for the National Report on monitoring the follow-up to the Declaration of Commitment on HIV/AIDS National Composite Policy Index Questionnaire Selected hibliography 	63 64 70

Acknowledgements

The development of the indicators for the implementation of the Declaration of Commitment on HIV/AIDS and the accompanying guidelines would not have been possible without the assistance of numerous individuals, institutions, organizations and countries.

We would especially like to thank the following: the MEASURE Evaluation Project, particularly for its contributions at the beginning of the process of indicator development; Dr Simon Gregson for technical advice and assistance in the drafting of the guidelines; UNAIDS' Monitoring and Evaluation Reference Group (including evaluation experts from cosponsoring organizations, partner agencies, academic institutions, and nongovernmental organizations), for its guidance and regular feedback; participants of the 'Strengthening Monitoring and Evaluation of National HIV/ AIDS Programmes in the Context of the Expanded Response' workshop, held in Dakar, Senegal in February 2002, for their comments; and UNAIDS' Cosponsors and Secretariat focal points for their input and support throughout the entire process.

Acronyms

AIDS Acquired immunodeficiency syndrome

ANC(s) Antenatal clinic(s)

API AIDS Programme Effort Index
BSS Behavioural surveillance surveys
CCA Common country assessments

CRIS Country Response Information System
DAC Development Assistance Committee
DHS Demographic and health survey

EC European Community
FHI Family Health International
HIV Human immunodeficiency virus

IDU(s) Injecting drug user(s)

IEC Information, education and communication

ILO International Labour Organization

MEASURE Monitoring and Evaluation to Assess and Use Results

MICS Multiple Indicator Cluster Surveys
MTCT Mother-to-child transmission
NAC(s) National AIDS Council(s)
NAP(s) National AIDS Programme(s)
NGO(s) Nongovernmental organization(s)

NIDI Netherlands Interdisciplinary Demographic Institute

NSP National Strategic Plan

OECD Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development

PCB Programme Coordinating Board

PMTCT Prevention of mother-to-child transmission

PRSP Poverty Reduction Strategy Papers
SPA Service Provision Assessment
STD(s) Sexually transmitted disease(s)
STI(s) Sexually transmitted infection(s)

UN United Nations

UNAIDS Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS

UNCTAD United Nations Conference on Trade and Development UNDAF United Nations Development Assistance Framework

UNFPA United Nations Population Fund

UNGASS United Nations General Assembly Special Session

UNICEF United Nations Children's Fund VCT Voluntary counselling and testing

WHO World Health Organization

Introduction

Background

At the United Nations General Assembly Special Session (UNGASS) on HIV/AIDS, in June 2001, governments from 189 countries committed themselves to a comprehensive programme of international and national action to fight the HIV/AIDS pandemic by adopting the Declaration of Commitment on HIV/AIDS¹. The Declaration established a number of goals for the achievement of specific quantified and time-bound targets, including reductions in HIV infection among infants and young adults; improvements in HIV/AIDS education, health care and treatment; and improvements in orphan support.

The Declaration of Commitment also included a pledge, on the part of the United Nations General Assembly, that it would itself devote at least one full day per annum to reviewing the progress achieved in realizing the goals established. To facilitate this ongoing review process, the Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS) and its partners have developed a set of core indicators that permit monitoring of measurable aspects of the various international and national actions, national programme outcomes, and national impact objectives envisaged in the Declaration of Commitment. Information obtained on these indicators will also be incorporated into reports and publications produced for broader dissemination and debate.

Purpose

The purpose of the current guidelines is to provide countries with technical guidance on the detailed specification of the indicators, on the information required and the basis of their construction, and on their interpretation. These guidelines aim to maximize the validity, internal consistency and comparability across countries and over time of the indicator estimates obtained, and to ensure consistency in the types of data and methods of calculation employed.

Global and national indicators for implementation of the Declaration of Commitment

The indicators are divided into two subgroups: global indicators and national indicators. The global indicators comprise a combination of five indicators that provide information on levels and trends in international commitment to HIV/AIDS control. UNAIDS and its partners are responsible for calculating the global-level indicators. Detailed specifications of these global indicators, the information required to measure them, and guidance on their interpretation are included in these guidelines for easy reference.

The national indicators are further subdivided into three categories:

- Indicators of national commitment and action. These indicators focus on policy, strategic
 and financial inputs for the prevention of the spread of HIV infection, to provide care and
 support for those who are infected, and to mitigate the social and economic consequences of
 high morbidity and mortality;
- ii) Indicators of national programme and behaviour. These indicators focus primarily on programme outputs, coverage and outcomes (e.g., increased knowledge about HIV/AIDS or altered behaviour);
- iii) Indicators of national-level programme **impact**. These indicators measure the extent to which programme activities have succeeded in reducing rates of HIV infection.

For the first two of these categories of national indicators (national commitment and action, and national programme and behaviour), a higher score on an indicator implies better or improved

¹ Resolution A/RES/S-26/2.

performance. For example, if one country has a higher score on the indicator of life-skills-based HIV/AIDS education than another country, this suggests that the first country has a more comprehensive life-skills programme than the second. However, for the third category of indicators (those of national-level programme impact), higher scores on the indicators imply higher prevalence of HIV. Thus, for example, a country with a higher percentage of HIV-infected infants born to HIV-infected mothers would appear to have a less successful programme for preventing mother-to-child transmission of HIV. Clearly, cross-national comparisons will reflect differences in local conditions and differences in data quality as well as differences in programme performance.

The national indicators focus on progress within individual countries. Four of them are also Millennium Development Indicators, established to monitor progress in achieving the goals and targets set in the Millennium Declaration, adopted by all 189 Member States of the United Nations General Assembly in 2000. As far as possible, national indicators have been built on those that have previously been recommended for use in monitoring and evaluation of HIV/AIDS programmes.

Each of the national indicators is applicable to all countries, with the exception of the indicator covering injecting drug users (IDUs). This indicator is applicable to countries where injecting drug use is an established mode of HIV transmission. Similarly, countries with low and concentrated epidemics should report on an alternative indicator of HIV prevalence among high-risk-behaviour groups, as opposed to prevalence among young people obtained from antenatal clinic sentinel surveillance. It is recommended that countries with generalized epidemics also report on this indicator to track the epidemic among all key high-risk-behaviour groups.

A number of additional national indicators have been suggested in the guidelines that could complement or serve to elucidate the information obtained using the relevant core indicator in some settings. For example, an additional indicator might be calculated, utilized and reported on by a country to provide useful interim information in circumstances where calculation of the core indicator awaits the collection of specialist survey data. It is recommended that countries report on these additional indicators, especially those on median age at first sex; number of non-regular sexual partners in the last year; and condom use during last commercial sex.

For those indicators where the Declaration of Commitment requires a specific focus on the 15–24-year-old age group, it is recommended that data be obtained for the whole 15–49-year-old age range, with separate indicator scores being reported by gender for the 15–19-, 20–24- and 25–49-year-old age groups. The Declaration of Commitment listed specific targets to be achieved by 2005 and 2010 for 3 out of the 13 national indicators: knowledge about HIV/AIDS among young people; prevalence of HIV among young people; and prevalence of HIV among newborns.

Some of the targets set in the Declaration of Commitment apply only to those countries that are most affected by the HIV/AIDS pandemic. These and other indicators may be less relevant in countries that currently have low overall levels of HIV prevalence in which case they may be reported on less frequently. Even so, it is important to recognize that relatively small changes in behaviour have the potential to trigger rapid epidemics in these countries. To ensure that this potential is not realized, careful epidemiological surveillance and appropriate and effective HIV prevention must be maintained.

The indicators for monitoring implementation of the Declaration of Commitment will need to be revised from time to time to reflect experience in their use and changes in the course of HIV/AIDS epidemics and in approaches to HIV control. Thus, the identity, specification and method of construction of the core, alternative and additional indicators will be reviewed on a regular basis by UNAIDS and its partners and revisions will be made as and when necessary. Subsequent updates of the guidelines will be available on the UNAIDS website at www.unaids.org.

Guidelines on indicator construction

This manual begins with a list of the core indicators, their reporting schedules and methods of data collection. The list is followed by detailed guidelines for constructing the individual indicators and, in one case, a suggested alternative indicator.

Separate guidelines are presented on the basis and construction of each indicator. In each case, the guideline gives the rationale for the indicator, provides brief details of its purpose, describes the type of measurement tool, method of measurement, and any supplementary information requirements, and ends by highlighting points to be considered when interpreting estimates of the indicator. Targets are given for indicators only where these have been specified in the Declaration of Commitment. Individual countries may, of course, set and monitor progress against their own internal targets if they wish to do so. Where an additional indicator is suggested, this is described at the end of the guideline. Detailed guidance on measurement tools and methods of construction for these additional indicators is beyond the scope of the current guidelines². However, some additional indicators, such as those on median age at first sex and the number of non-regular sexual partners in the last year can be calculated from the same data as are used to calculate the core indicator.

Measurement tools

The principal measurement tools required to provide the necessary data are nationally-representative, population-based sample surveys; schools, health facility and employer surveys; and specially-designed targeted surveys of marginalized groups. Other data requirements should be met from existing routine programme monitoring sources. It is envisaged that these will typically include education and health service records as well as specific HIV/AIDS or sexually transmitted infections (STIs) control programme and surveillance records.

It is anticipated that most of the data required to calculate the indicators will be available from preexisting sources. In many countries, population-based surveys that collect much of this information (e.g., demographic and health surveys) are carried out on a regular basis. In other countries, similar surveys are conducted that can be extended to incorporate the necessary questions. Most countries also capture information from schools, health facilities and employers on a regular basis so that the HIV/AIDS information required for the indicators covering these areas should be relatively straightforward to collect. The one indicator for which a significant additional data collection effort may be required is that covering injecting drug users. In the meantime, countries may report on service coverage data for IDU services. Where necessary, technical support will be available through the Expanded Theme Groups at country level. In addition, assistance can be sought from the evaluation unit at the UNAIDS Secretariat at <u>UNGASSindicators@unaids.org</u>.

Methods of measurement

Details on method of calculation and on specific data requirements are given for each indicator. Calculation of a number of the indicators entails the initial computation of a numerator and a denominator for a percentage score calculation. Where this is the case, precise definitions are given for both the numerator and the denominator. Some indicators summarize information collected on several interrelated topics. Where this is the case, information on each of the component topics must be provided. In most instances, information is required disaggregated by gender, urban-rural residence etc., so that comparisons of indicator scores between population subgroups can be made. Finally, supplementary information is needed to aid interpretation of some indicators. Requirements for such information are noted in the guidelines, as appropriate.

Where data are extracted from routine programme records, these should be recorded on a consistent basis from year to year, preferably either by calendar year or by financial year. Similarly, data

² See the UNAIDS/MEASURE (2000) National AIDS Programmes: A guide to monitoring and evaluation, and FHI (2000) Behavioural surveillance surveys: guidelines for repeated behavioural surveys in populations at risk of HIV, for detailed guidance on measurement tools and methods of construction for additional indicators.

for specific time points should always be presented using the same reference date—i.e., calendar or financial year end. Details of the dates and periods used when extracting such data should be specified on the indicator return forms. It is particularly important to check the dates and periods used in initial reports before compiling subsequent returns.

The data to be applied in these calculations should, wherever possible, be collected using the standard forms of questions specified in the guidelines. This will help to ensure minimization of reporting bias, particularly where cross-country comparisons are made.

Interpretation

Notes are provided at the end of each guideline on any significant assumptions that are made in the calculation of the indicator and on any factors that may tend to introduce bias into the estimates. Particular attention is paid to highlighting factors that can cause distortion in temporal trends or cross-country comparisons of the indicator, because these may lead to incorrect conclusions being drawn as to the absolute and relative effectiveness of alternative programmes.

Reporting

National governments, through their National AIDS Councils (NACs) or equivalent, are responsible for compiling the national-level indicators with support from UNAIDS and its partners. The national information should be collected in accordance with the procedures described in this manual and must be reported on using standard forms, which are included with these guidelines. NACs (or equivalent) in countries will be responsible for ensuring that the necessary data are collated and submitted in a timely fashion. However, they may delegate or contract out some or all of this work to appropriately qualified individuals or academic institutions. The standard forms include the special formulae used in the computation of individual indicator scores.

Completed forms should be returned to the UNAIDS Secretariat in Geneva. These completed forms should be accompanied by a narrative report highlighting success, as well as constraints and future national plans of action to improve performance, specifically in areas where data indicate weaknesses against national targets. It is expected that all levels of society, including civil society organizations and the private sector (see Appendix 2), will participate in the preparation and dissemination of the national report. UNAIDS strongly recommends that national governments organize a national workshop and/or a broad consultation forum to discuss the major findings of the national report prior to submitting it to UNAIDS. UN Theme Groups and Expanded Theme Groups in country are available to assist the NAC (or equivalent) in facilitating this process. All national reports will be made public on the UNAIDS website. The Country Response Information System (CRIS), which is expected to be operational in all countries by 2004, will serve as an information system for national responses and will house all data obtained on core and additional indicators for use in monitoring implementation of the Declaration of Commitment.

Varying preferred and minimum frequencies of reporting have been determined for the different indicators. These reflect likely differences in the availability of the data needed to calculate the various indicators. For example, reports on indicators that require data from population-based surveys must be provided at least every four-to-five years, while reports for indicators based on routine programme monitoring information are generally required at two-year intervals. The reporting schedule for the indicators is set out in Appendix 1 to these guidelines.

In principle, the methods described in these guidelines can also be applied at the subnational level. However, they require detailed data that are less likely to be available and too expensive or less feasible to collect at the local level. Furthermore, the standardized methodology described in these guidelines has been designed to facilitate the construction of global estimates from national-level data and to make it possible to conduct cross-country comparisons. Simpler, faster and more flexible approaches, tailored to local conditions, may therefore be more appropriate to guide decision-making at, for example, the district level.

Global lev

National level

Core indicators for implementation of the Declaration of Commitment

(approved by the Programme Coordinating Board of UNAIDS, May 2002)

	Indicators	Reporting schedule	Method of data collection
	Global commitment and action		
	Amount of funds spent by international donors on HIV/AIDS in developing countries and countries in transition	Annual	Survey on financial resource flows
	Amount of public funds available for research and development of vaccines and microbicides	Annual	Survey on financial resource flows
	Percentage of transnational companies that are present in developing countries and that have HIV/AIDS workplace policies and programmes	Annual	Desk review
GIODAI	Percentage of international organizations that have HIV/AIDS workplace policies and programmes	Annual	Desk review
	Assessment of HIV/AIDS advocacy efforts	Annual	Qualitative desk assessment(s)

1. National commitment and action

Amount of national funds spent by governments on HIV/AIDS	Biennial	Survey on financial resource flows
National Composite Policy Index	Biennial	Country assessment questionnaire

2. National programme and behaviour

1.	Percentage of schools with teachers who have been trained in life-skills-based HIV/AIDS education and who taught it during the last academic year	Biennial	School-based survey and education programme review
2.	Percentage of large enterprises/companies that have HIV/AIDS workplace policies and programmes	Biennial	Workplace survey
3.	Percentage of patients with STIs at health-care facilities who are appropriately diagnosed, treated and counselled	Biennial	Health facility survey
4.	Percentage of HIV-infected pregnant women receiving a complete course of antiretroviral prophylaxis to reduce the risk of MTCT	Biennial	Programme monitoring and estimates
5.	Percentage of people with advanced HIV infection receiving antiretroviral combination therapy	Biennial	Programme monitoring and estimates
6.	Percentage of IDUs who have adopted behaviours that reduce transmission of HIV*	Biennial	Special survey
7.	Percentage of young people aged 15–24 who both correctly identify ways of preventing the sexual transmission of HIV and who reject major misconceptions about HIV transmission** (Target: 90% by 2005; 95% by 2010)	Every 4–5 years	Population-based survey
8.	Percentage of young people aged 15–24 reporting the use of a condom during sexual intercourse with a non-regular sexual partner**	Every 4–5 years	Population-based survey
9.	Ratio of current school attendance among orphans to that among non- orphans, aged 10–14**	Every 4–5 years	Population-based survey

3. Impact

Percentage of young people aged 15–24 who are HIV-infected** (Target: 25% in most affected countries by 2005 25% reduction, globally, by 2010)	Biennial	HIV sentinel surveillance
Percentage of HIV-infected infants born to HIV-infected mothers (Target: 20% reduction by 2005; 50% reduction by 2010)	Biennial	Estimate based on programme coverage

^{*} Applicable to countries where injecting drug use is an established mode of HIV transmission

^{**} Millennium Development Goal indicators

GLOBAL COMMITMENT AND ACTION INDICATORS

- 1. Amount of funds spent by international donors on HIV/AIDS in developing countries and countries in transition
- 2. Amount of public funds available for research and development of vaccines and microbicides
- Percentage of transnational companies that are present in developing countries and that have HIV/AIDS workplace policies and programmes
- 4. Percentage of international organizations that have HIV/AIDS workplace policies and programmes
- 5. Assessment of HIV/AIDS advocacy efforts

International funding for HIV/AIDS

Amount of funds spent by international donors on HIV/AIDS in developing countries and countries in transition

PURPOSE To monitor the flow of international aid for HIV/AIDS

FREQUENCY Annual

MEASUREMENT TOOL UNAIDS/United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA)/Netherlands

Interdisciplinary Demographic Institute (NIDI) survey on financial

resource flows.

METHOD OF MEASUREMENT

Survey questionnaires are distributed annually to selected international donors that fund HIV/AIDS and sexually transmitted disease

(STD) activities.

'International donors' comprise members of the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD)/Development Assistance Committee (DAC) countries, multilateral organizations, international nongovernmental organizations (NGOs), major private foundations, and international and regional banks that provide assistance to HIV/AIDS-related programmes. A list of these agencies is maintained by UNAIDS.

The total number of agencies contacted and the response rate achieved will be noted. Any major changes in survey coverage between survey rounds will also be noted.

INTERPRETATION

- The list of international donors contacted is not exhaustive and some may fail to respond.
 Also, HIV/AIDS-related aspects are often integrated within broader activities, making isolation of their specific costs problematic. For these reasons, the indicator is likely to be an underestimate of total international donor expenditure on HIV/AIDS and fluctuations in the indicator will reflect variations in response to the survey as well as genuine trends in funding levels.
- Funding provided through regional groupings such as the European Community (EC) is included in the indicator, with appropriate adjustments to prevent double-counting.
- Previously, the survey instrument on resource flows did not disaggregate resources spent on HIV/AIDS and STDs. However, as from 2002, financial data will be disaggregated by STD control activities; HIV/AIDS prevention; HIV/AIDS clinical care and treatment; and HIV/ AIDS support and impact mitigation. International funding for condom promotion and distribution will be reported on separately.

Public funding for research and development

Amount of public funds available for research and development of vaccines and microbicides

PURPOSE To monitor the flow of public resources for the development of glo-

bal public goods

FREQUENCY Annual

MEASUREMENT TOOL Survey on financial resource flows

METHOD OF Survey questionnaires are distributed annually to countries with governments that provide funding to research institutions for re-

search and development of vaccines and microbicides.

INTERPRETATION

- This indicator provides a proxy measure of the commitment of governments to HIV/AIDS research and development. Public funding from governments is only a small fraction of the total expenditure on research and development of vaccines and microbicides.
- Public funding for items such as drugs for the treatment of HIV/AIDS and other STDs is minimal and is therefore not included in this indicator.

Workplace HIV/AIDS control in transnational companies

Percentage of transnational companies that are present in developing countries and that have HIV/AIDS workplace policies and programmes

PURPOSE

To assess progress in implementing workplace policies and programmes to combat HIV/AIDS in transnational companies.

FREQUENCY

Annual

MEASUREMENT TOOL

Desk review and key informant interviews

METHOD OF MEASUREMENT

The United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD) list of the 100 largest transnational companies ranked by foreign assets, plus an additional 10 transnational companies in the mining and tourism sectors, are asked to state whether they currently implement personnel policies and procedures that cover, as a minimum, *all* of the following aspects:

- 1. Prevention of stigmatization and discrimination on the basis of HIV infection status in: (a) staff recruitment and promotion; and (b) employment, sickness and termination benefits.
- Workplace-based HIV/AIDS prevention, control and care programmes that cover: (a) the basic facts on HIV/AIDS; (b) specific work-related HIV transmission hazards and safeguards; (c) condom promotion; (d) voluntary counselling and testing (VCT); (e) sexually transmitted infection (STI) diagnosis and treatment; and (f) provision of HIV/AIDS-related drugs.

Numerator: Number of employers with HIV/AIDS policies and regulations that meet all of the above criteria.

Denominator: Number of employers surveyed (110).

Copies of written personnel policies and regulations should be obtained and assessed wherever possible.

Workplace HIV/AIDS control in international organizations

Percentage of international organizations that have HIV/AIDS workplace policies and programmes

PURPOSE

To assess progress in implementing workplace policies and programmes to combat HIV/AIDS in international organizations.

FREQUENCY

Annual

MEASUREMENT TOOL

Desk review and key informant interviews

METHOD OF MEASUREMENT

Major international organizations—United Nations, EC, bilateral and other international organizations with global coverage and a development, humanitarian or emergency-relief mandate—are asked to state whether they are currently implementing personnel policies and procedures that cover, as a minimum, *all* of the following aspects:

- 1. Prevention of stigmatization and discrimination on the basis of HIV infection status in: (a) staff recruitment and promotion; and (b) employment, sickness and termination benefits.
- Workplace-based HIV/AIDS prevention, control and care programmes that cover: (a) the basic facts on HIV/AIDS; (b) specific work-related HIV transmission hazards and safeguards; (c) condom promotion; (d) VCT; (e) STI diagnosis and treatment; and (f) provision of HIV/AIDS-related drugs.
- Training for HIV/AIDS control in conflict, emergency and disaster situations*.

Numerator: Numb

Number of major international organizations with HIV/AIDS policies and regulations that meet the above criteria.

above criteria.

Denominator: Number of major international organizations for which policies and procedures were reviewed.

A core list of major international organizations that fulfil the necessary criteria for global coverage and a development, humanitarian or emergency-relief mandate for the purposes of calculating this indicator will be maintained by UNAIDS.

Copies of written personnel policies and regulations should be obtained and assessed wherever possible.

INTERPRETATION

This indicator does not specifically address international peacekeeping forces: it is expected
that national governments will train their peacekeepers as part of their national strategy that
addresses HIV/AIDS among national uniformed services, including armed forces and civil
defence forces.

^{*} This aspect only applies to organizations with staff working in conflict, emergency and disaster situations.

HIV/AIDS advocacy

Assessment of HIV/AIDS advocacy efforts

PURPOSE To assess advocacy efforts to enhance the global response to HIV/

AIDS

FREQUENCY Annual

MEASUREMENT TOOL Qualitative desk assessment

METHOD OF MEASUREMENT

Qualitative review and analysis of:

• media reports

• public pronouncements of political leaders

• international declarations

• global/regional conferences

• UNAIDS policies and statements

INTERPRETATION

• Qualitative assessments of the level of advocacy from one year to the next are subject to judgement bias.

NATIONAL COMMITMENT AND ACTION INDICATORS

- 1. Amount of national funds spent by governments on HIV/AIDS
- 2. National Composite Policy Index
 - A. Strategic plan
 - B. Prevention
 - C. Human rights
 - D. Care and support

Government funding for HIV/AIDS

Amount of national funds spent by governments on HIV/AIDS

PURPOSE To monitor the flow of national funding for HIV/AIDS as a meas-

ure of national government's economic commitment to fight HIV/

AIDS

APPLICABILITY All countries

FREQUENCY Biennial

MEASUREMENT TOOL UNAIDS/UNFPA/NIDI survey on financial resource flows

METHOD OF MEASUREMENT

Survey of national government expenditure on HIV/AIDS programmes. The costs of any multilateral or bilateral international donor-funded government programmes should be excluded. Similarly, all local NGO programmes should be excluded, except for programmes (or parts of programmes) that are funded by the national government.

Allocated national funds comprise expenditure on the following four categories of programme, totals for each of which should be specified separately:

1. STD control activities

2. HIV prevention

3. HIV/AIDS clinical care and treatment

4. HIV/AIDS impact mitigation

INTERPRETATION

- This indicator is a measure of economic commitment to enhancing the national response to HIV/AIDS. It is not intended to be used as a measure of resource availability.
- In larger and more decentralized countries, national expenditures at lower levels may not be captured fully in a centrally-administered survey so the total amount of national expenditure on combating HIV/AIDS may be under-reported.

Government HIV/AIDS policies

National Composite Policy Index

PURPOSE To assess progress in the development of national-level HIV/AIDS

policies and strategies

APPLICABILITY All countries

FREQUENCY Biennial

MEASUREMENT TOOL Country assessment questionnaire (see Appendix 3)

METHOD OF MEASUREMENT

The composite index covers four broad areas of policy:

A. Strategic plan

B. Prevention

C. Human rights

D. Care and support

A number of specific policy indicators have been identified for each of these policy areas (see list on page 22). A separate index is calculated for each policy area by adding up the scores (yes = 1, no = 0) for the relevant specific policy indicators and calculating the overall percentage score. The composite index is calculated by taking the average of the scores for the four components.

Where appropriate, the score for a specific policy indicator should be assessed with reference to the standards and criteria provided (see Appendix 3).

INTERPRETATION

• The simple quantitative nature of the National Composite Policy Index means that it does not give information on the effectiveness of national policies and strategies. Therefore, a separate AIDS Programme Effort Survey will be conducted in selected countries to assess the effectiveness of national policies and strategies.

A. Strategic plan

- 1. Country has developed multisectoral strategies to combat HIV/AIDS.
- 2. Country has integrated HIV/AIDS into its general development plans.
- 3. Country has a functional national multisectoral HIV/AIDS management/coordination body.
- 4. Country has a functional national HIV/AIDS body that promotes interaction among government, the private sector and civil society
- 5. Country has a functional HIV/AIDS body that assists in the coordination of civil society organizations.
- 6. Country has evaluated the impact of HIV/AIDS on its socioeconomic status for planning purposes.
- 7. Country has a strategy that addresses HIV/AIDS issues among its national uniformed services (including armed forces and civil defence forces).

B. Prevention

- 1. Country has a general policy or strategy to promote information, education and communication (IEC) on HIV/AIDS.
- 2. Country has a policy or strategy promoting reproductive and sexual health education for young people.
- 3. Country has a policy or strategy that promotes IEC and other health interventions for groups with high or increasing rates of HIV infection.
- 4. Country has a policy or strategy that promotes IEC and other health interventions for cross-border migrants.
- 5. Country has a policy or strategy to expand access, including among vulnerable groups, to essential preventative commodities.
- 6. Country has a policy or strategy to reduce mother-to-child HIV transmission.

C. Human rights

- 1. Country has laws and regulations that protect against discrimination people living with HIV/AIDS.
- 2. Country has laws and regulations that protect against discrimination groups of people identified as being especially vulnerable to HIV/AIDS.
- 3. Country has a policy to ensure equal access for men and women to prevention and care, with emphasis on vulnerable populations.
- 4. Country has a policy to ensure that HIV/AIDS research protocols involving human subjects are reviewed and approved by an ethics committee.

D. Care and support

- 1. Country has a policy or strategy to promote comprehensive HIV/AIDS care and support, with emphasis on vulnerable groups.
- 2. Country has a policy or strategy to ensure or improve access to HIV/AIDS-related medicines, with emphasis on vulnerable groups.
- 3. Country has a policy or strategy to address the additional needs of orphans and other vulnerable children.

NATIONAL PROGRAMME AND BEHAVIOUR INDICATORS

- 1. Percentage of schools with teachers who have been trained in life-skills-based HIV/AIDS education and who taught it during the last academic year
- 2. Percentage of large enterprises/companies that have HIV/AIDS workplace policies and programmes
- 3. Percentage of patients with STIs at health-care facilities who are appropriately diagnosed, treated and counselled
- 4. Percentage of HIV-infected pregnant women receiving a complete course of antiretroviral prophylaxis to reduce the risk of MTCT
- 5. Percentage of people with advanced HIV infection receiving antiretroviral combination therapy
- 6. Percentage of IDUs who have adopted behaviours that reduce transmission of HIV*
- 7. Percentage of young people aged 15–24 who both correctly identify ways of preventing the sexual transmission of HIV and who reject major misconceptions about HIV transmission**

(Target: 90% by 2005; 95% by 2010)

- 8. Percentage of young people aged 15–24 reporting the use of a condom during sexual intercourse with a non-regular sexual partner**
- Ratio of current school attendance among orphans to that among non-orphans, aged 10–14**

^{*} Applicable to countries where injecting drug use is an established mode of HIV transmission

^{**} Millennium Development Goal indicators

Life-skills-based HIV/AIDS education in schools

School-based HIV prevention programmes have the potential to reach vast numbers of young people. Those programmes that offer participatory and interactive life-skills training on individual, social and environmental factors that affect the risks of HIV transmission have proven to be more effective in bringing about behavioural change—delayed age at first sex, condom use, reduced number of sexual partners, etc.—than more formal approaches that concentrate on providing information.

Percentage of schools with teachers who have been trained in life-skills-based HIV/AIDS education and who taught it during the last academic year

PURPOSETo assess progress in implementing life-skills-based HIV/AIDS

education in schools to combat HIV/AIDS

APPLICABILITY All countries

FREQUENCY Biennial

MEASUREMENT TOOL School-based survey or education programme review

METHOD OF MEASUREMENT

Principals/heads of a nationally-representative sample of schools (to include both private and public schools) are briefed on the meaning of life-skills-based HIV/AIDS education and are then asked the following questions:

- 1. Does your school have at least one qualified teacher who has received training in participatory life-skills-based HIV/AIDS education in the last five years?
- 2. If the answer to question 1 is "yes": Did this person teach life-skills-based HIV/AIDS education on a regular basis to each grade in your school throughout the last academic year?

The teacher training must have included time dedicated to mastering facilitation of participatory learning experiences that aim to develop knowledge, positive attitudes and skills (e.g., interpersonal communication, negotiation, decision-making and critical thinking skills and coping strategies) that assist young people in maintaining safe lifestyles. Wherever possible, the teacher training should have been done in accordance with the latest UNICEF guidelines (http://www.unicef.org/programme/lifeskills).

At least 30 hours of tuition per year per grade of pupil is required if life-skills-based HIV/AIDS education is to qualify as 'standard' tuition for the purposes of calculating this indicator.

Numerator: Number of schools with staff members trained in,

and regularly teaching, life-skills-based HIV/AIDS

education

Denominator: Number of schools surveyed

Indicator scores are required for all schools combined and for primary and secondary schools separately, each according to private/public status and urban/rural setting. Church schools should be treated as private schools for this purpose. Where a school provides both primary and secondary education, information should be collected and reported separately for each level of education.

Primary and secondary school enrolment rates for the most recent academic year should be stated

INTERPRETATION

- It is important that life-skills-based HIV/AIDS education be initiated in the early grades of primary school and then continued throughout schooling, with content and methods being adapted to the age and experience of the students. Where schools provide both primary and secondary education, at least one teacher should have been trained to teach life-skills-based HIV/AIDS education at each of these levels.
- The indicator provides useful information on trends in the coverage of life-skills-based HIV/ AIDS education within schools. However, the substantial variations in the levels of school enrolment that exist within and between countries must be taken into account when interpreting (or making cross-country comparisons of) this indicator. Complementary strategies that address the needs of out-of-school youth will be particularly important in countries where school enrolment rates are low.
- The indicator is a measure of coverage. The quality of education provided may also differ between countries and over time.

ADDITIONAL INDICATOR

Percentage of primary and secondary schools where life-skills-based HIV/AIDS education is taught

APPLICABILITY All countries

FREQUENCY Biennial

MEASUREMENT TOOL Education programme review

NPBI-1	Life-sl	kills-bas	ed HIV/2	AIDS ed	lucation	in scho	ols		
Data source: name									
Deta community									
Data source: type			<u> </u>					1	
Data collection period (day/month/year)				to]	
PART I:	Primary	schools		Secondar	ry schools		All school	ols	
Data requirements	Urban	Rural	National	Urban	Rural	National	Urban	Rural	National
NUMERATOR									
Instructions:									
Select <i>only</i> those schools that provided informa Line 1: enter the number of schools that stated t	•	_	•	•			•	S educati	on
iii) Line 2: enter the number of schools that stated to taught HIV/AIDS education on a regular basis to	ed "yes" to	the questio	n in line 1 an	d who also					OII
taught niv/AIDS education on a regular basis to	all classes	in the last a	cademic yea	r					
School has at least one teacher trained in the last years to teach life-skills-based HIV/AIDS education									
Public sector schools									
Private sector schools									
2. School has staff member(s) trained to teach HIV/AIDS education in the last 5 years who has taught the subject on a regular basis to									
all classes in the last academic year Public sector schools									
Private sector schools									
DENOMINATOR									
3. Number of schools surveyed									
Public sector schools									
Private sector schools									
4. Total number of schools in the country*:									
Public sector schools									
Private sector schools									
Public and private schools									
PART II: Indicator computation									
INDICATOR SCORES BY TYPE AND LOCATION OF SCHOOL									
5. Divide the number of schools (public and private) that reported having a staff member trained to teach HIV/AIDS life-skills-based education (line 2) by the total number covered by the survey (line 3) and multiply the result by 100.									
INDICATOR SCORES BY TYPE OF SCHOOL (NATIONAL)									
6. i) Calculate the weighted average of the urban and rural indicator scores (line 5) using the number of schools in urban and rural areas (line 4) as the weights. ii) Calculate the weighted average of the indicator scores (line 5) by type and location of school using the numbers for each type and location of school (line 4) as the weights.									

Country:

^{*} From Ministry of Education statistics.

Workplace HIV/AIDS control

Formal sector workers are central to the development efforts of low-income countries. Business productivity is being undermined by the HIV/AIDS epidemic through the detrimental effects of higher morbidity and mortality on staff performance, absenteeism and turnover, skills shortages and low workforce morale. Individual workers frequently have large numbers of dependent relatives. Male workers, in particular, are often at high risk of acquiring and transmitting HIV, especially where labour migration is common. Those infected may also suffer stigma and discrimination in the workplace. However, the workplace is often a highly convenient and conducive setting for HIV control activities and workplace-based interventions have proven to be effective.

Percentage of large enterprises/companies that have HIV/AIDS workplace policies and programmes

PURPOSE

To assess progress in implementing workplace policies and pro-

grammes to combat HIV/AIDS

APPLICABILITY

All countries

FREQUENCY

Biennial

MEASUREMENT TOOL

METHOD OF

Survey of the 30 largest employers—25 private sector, 5 public sector

METHOD OF MEASUREMENT

Private sector employers are selected on the basis of the size of the labour force. Public sector employers should be the ministries of transport, labour, tourism, education and health. Employers are asked to state whether they are currently implementing personnel policies and procedures that cover, as a minimum, *all* of the following aspects:

- 1. Prevention of stigmatization and discrimination on the basis of HIV infection status in: (a) staff recruitment and promotion; and (b) employment, sickness and termination benefits.
- Workplace-based HIV/AIDS prevention, control and care programmes that cover: (a) the basic facts on HIV/AIDS; (b) specific work-related HIV transmission hazards and safeguards; (c) condom promotion; (d) VCT; (e) STI diagnosis and treatment; and (f) provision of HIV/AIDS-related drugs.

Numerator: Number of employers with HIV/AIDS policies and regulations that meet *all* of the above criteria

Denominator: Number of employers surveyed (30)

Copies of written personnel policies and regulations should be obtained and assessed wherever possible.

Indicator scores are required for all employers combined and for the private and public sectors separately.

Estimates of the size of the male and female formal sector workforce should also be provided.

INTERPRETATION

- People employed in small businesses and the informal sector often constitute a significant proportion of the workforce but are less likely to be reached by workplace HIV/AIDS programmes.
 Therefore, this indicator provides an over-estimate of the extent to which workers are covered by these programmes. Nevertheless, trends in this indicator will provide a useful guide to incremental improvements in national coverage.
- The indicator is useful even in countries where HIV prevalence is low because early action in educating workers on HIV prevention is essential if the serious economic and social consequences of HIV/AIDS are to be avoided.

Country:	
Jountry:	

NPBI-2	Workplace	HIV/AII	OS control			
Source of data used: name						
Source of data used: type						
Date data collected (day/month/year)			to			
PART I: Data requirements	Men		Women		All	
FORMAL SECTOR EMPLOYMENT						
1. Formal sector workforce ('000s)						
2. Population aged 15-64 years ('000s)						
Formal sector employment rate						
	Public sector		Private sector		All employers	in sample
NUMERATOR						
Anti-discrimination-at-work policies						
3. Staff recruitment and promotion						
4. Staff benefits						
5. Number of employers providing <i>both</i> of the above						
Workplace HIV/AIDS prevention, control and care progr	ammes					
6. HIV/AIDS education						
7. Work-related hazards and safeguards						
8. Condom distribution						
9. Voluntary counselling and testing						
10. STI services						
11. Provision of HIV/AIDS-related drugs						
12. Number of employers providing <i>all</i> of the above						
Comprehensive workplace policies						
13. Number of employers with anti-discrimination policies (line 5) <i>and</i> workplace programmes (line 1	2)					
DENOMINATOR						
14. Number of employers in sample		5		25		30
PART II: Indicator computation						
INDICATOR SCORES BY EMPLOYMENT SECTOR						
15. Divide the number of employers with comprehensive workplace policies (line 13) by the total number of employers in the sample (line 14) and multiply the result by 100.						

Sexually transmitted infections: comprehensive case management

The risk of HIV transmission is substantially increased when one or both partners in a sexual relationship have another sexually transmitted infection. Thus, the availability and utilization of services to treat and contain the spread of STIs can reduce the rate of HIV transmission within a population. One of the cornerstones of STI control is comprehensive case management of patients with symptomatic STIs.

Percentage of patients with STIs at health-care facilities who are appropriately diagnosed, treated and counselled

PURPOSE To assess progress in implementing universally effective STI diag-

nosis, treatment and counselling

APPLICABILITY All countries

FREQUENCY Biennial

MEASUREMENT TOOL Health facility survey—based on the UNAIDS/MEASURE (2000)

National AIDS Programme: A guide to monitoring and evaluation

METHOD OF MEASUREMENT

Data are collected in observations of provider-client interaction at a sample of health-care facilities offering STI services. See *Evaluation of a National AIDS Programme: A Methods Package*, UNAIDS/WHO (1999), for details on how to select this sample. Providers are assessed on history-taking, examination, proper diagnosis and treatment of patients, and effective counselling, including counselling on partner notification, condom use and HIV testing.

'Appropriate' diagnosis and treatment and counselling procedures in any given country are those specified in national STI service guidelines.

A 'health-care facility' is defined as any setting (i.e., including public, private, and church sectors) where health-care services are provided by one or more medically-qualified personnel.

Numerator: Number of STI patients for whom the correct

procedures were followed on: (a) history-taking; (b) examination; (c) diagnosis and treatment; and (d) effective counselling on partner notification,

condom use and HIV testing.

Denominator: Number of STI patients for whom provider-client

interactions were observed.

Disaggregated indicator scores should be reported for men and women and for patients under and over 20 years of age.

Scores for each component of the indicator (i.e., history-taking, examination, diagnosis and treatment, and counselling) must be reported, in addition to the overall indicator score.

INTERPRETATION

- This composite indicator reflects the competence of health-service providers to correctly identify and treat STIs, the availability of the necessary equipment, drugs and materials, and the provision of appropriate counselling to patients.
- The indicator reflects the quality of services provided but not the cost or accessibility of these services.
- The standard for 'appropriate' care upon which the measurement of the indicator is based may vary between countries (or over time). Currently, syndromic management is seen as the most practical approach in high-prevalence, low-income countries, since there are fewer bottlenecks in diagnosis.

ADDITIONAL INDICATOR

Percentage of public STI clinics where VCT services for HIV are provided and/or referred to other facilities

APPLICABILITY All countries

FREQUENCY Biennial

MEASUREMENT TOOL Programme monitoring

5. Number of STI patients for whom correct procedures were followed on *all* of the above

provider-client interactions were observed on *dl* of the above 4 aspects (lines 1-4)

Divide the number of respondents who received correct treatment for all 4 aspects (line 5) by the number whose treatment was observed on all 4 aspects (line 6) and multiply the result by 100.

6. Number of respondents for whom

INDICATOR SCORES BY SEX AND AGE GROUP

DENOMINATOR

Indicator computation

PART II:

Prevention of MTCT: antiretroviral prophylaxis

In the absence of preventative interventions, infants born to, and breastfed by, HIV-infected women have roughly a one-in-three chance of acquiring infection themselves. This can happen during pregnancy, during labour and delivery, or after delivery through breastfeeding. The risk of MTCT can be reduced through the complementary approaches of antiretroviral prophylaxis for the mother, with or without prophylaxis to the infant, implementation of safe delivery practices, and use of safe alternatives to breastfeeding. Antiretroviral prophylaxis followed by exclusive breastfeeding may also reduce the risk of vertical transmission when breastfeeding is limited to the first six months.

Percentage of HIV-infected pregnant women receiving a complete course of antiretroviral prophylaxis to reduce the risk of MTCT

PURPOSE To assess progress in preventing mother-to-child HIV transmission

APPLICABILITY All countries

FREQUENCY Biennial

MEASUREMENT TOOL Programme monitoring and estimates

METHOD OF MEASUREMENT

The number of HIV-infected pregnant women provided with antiretroviral prophylaxis to reduce the risk of MTCT in the last 12 months is obtained from programme monitoring records. Only those women who completed the full course should be included.

The number of HIV-infected pregnant women to whom antiretroviral prophylaxis to reduce the risk of MTCT *could potentially have been given* is estimated by multiplying the total number of women who gave birth in the last 12 months (Central Statistics Office estimates of births) by the most recent national estimate of HIV prevalence in pregnant women (HIV sentinel surveillance antenatal clinic estimates).

Numerator: Number of HIV-infected pregnant women provided

with a full course of antiretroviral prophylaxis to reduce MTCT according to the nationally approved treatment protocol (or WHO/UNAIDS standards) in

the last 12 months.

Denominator: Estimated number of HIV-infected pregnant women.

The decision as to whether or not to include women who receive treatment from private sector and NGO clinics in the calculation of the indicator is left to the discretion of the country concerned. However, the decision taken should be noted and applied consistently in calculating both the numerator and the denominator. Private sector and NGO clinics that provide prescriptions for antiretrovirals but assume that the drugs will be acquired by the individuals elsewhere are not included in this indicator, even though such clinics may be major providers of MTCT-reduction services.

The definition of a 'full course' of antiretroviral prophylaxis will depend on the country's policy on antiretroviral prophylaxis to reduce the risk of MTCT and may or may not include a dose for newborns. Details of the definition used should be provided.

Separate estimates of the numbers of pregnant women provided with antiretroviral prophylaxis at public sector and private sector clinics should be given.

INTERPRETATION

- In many countries, the estimate of HIV prevalence among pregnant women used in the calculation of this indicator will be based on antenatal clinic (ANC)-based HIV surveillance data. In some of these countries, large numbers of pregnant women do not have access to ANC services or choose not to make use of them. Pregnant women with HIV may be more or less likely to use ANC services (or public rather than private ANC services) than those who are not infected, particularly where antiretroviral prophylaxis can be accessed via such services. In such circumstances, this indicator should be interpreted with reference to recent estimates of utilization of national ANC services.
- Voluntary testing and counselling for HIV and antiretroviral prophylaxis to reduce MTCT can
 be made available but, ultimately, it is up to individual women to decide whether or not to
 make use of these services. Thus, a country's score on this indicator will reflect the degree of
 interest in these services (partly a function of the way in which they are promoted), as well as
 the extent to which they are available.
- Countries will apply different definitions as to what constitutes a 'full course' of antiretroviral prophylaxis. Thus, inter-country comparisons may not be entirely valid and should be interpreted with reference to details of the different definitions used in each case.
- This indicator does not measure compliance with the antiretroviral treatment regime because it is not possible to monitor drug compliance, unless direct supervision is undertaken.

ADDITIONAL INDICATOR

Percentage of public ANC attendees using clinics where VCT services for HIV are provided and/or referred to other facilities

APPLICABILITY Countries with generalized epidemics

FREQUENCY Biennial

MEASUREMENT TOOL Programme monitoring

TIME ACC	L. J	Matine	Return Forn	
UNCTASS	indicators:	National	Return Forn	1

UNGASS Indicators: National Return Form		Country:		
NPBI-4	Prevention of MTC	CT: antiretroviral pr	ophylaxis	
Source of data used: name				
Source of data used: type				
Date data collected (day/month/year)		to		
PART I: Data requirements	Public sector	Private sector	Total	
NUMERATOR 1. Number of HIV-infected pregnant women provi with ARV therapy to reduce the risk of MTCT in the last month	ided] [
DENOMINATOR			-	
2. Number of women who gave birth in the last 12 months*			L	
3. HIV prevalence in pregnant women (%)**			[
4. Estimated number of HIV-infected pregnant wo in the country in the last 12 months	men		[
To calculate line 4, multiply line 2 by line 3, and divide the product by 100.				
PART II: Indicator computation				
INDICATOR SCORES BY HEALTH SECTOR				
 Divide the number of HIV-infected pregnant women provided with ARV therapy (line 1) by the relevant sector by the number of HIV-infected pregnant women in the country (line 4) and multiply the result by 100.] [

^{*} Use national Central Statistics Office estimates of current annual births.

^{**} In most countries, national sentinel surveillance estimates of HIV prevalence among antenatal clinic attendees can be used.

HIV treatment: antiretroviral combination therapy

As the HIV pandemic matures, increasing numbers of people are reaching advanced stages of HIV infection. Antiretroviral combination therapy has been shown to reduce mortality among those infected and efforts are being made to make it more affordable even within less developed countries. Antiretroviral combination therapy should be provided in conjunction with broader care and support services, including counselling for family caregivers.

Percentage of people with advanced HIV infection receiving antiretroviral combination therapy

PURPOSE To assess progress in providing antiretroviral combination therapy

to all people with advanced HIV infection

APPLICABILITY All countries

FREQUENCY Biennial

MEASUREMENT TOOL Programme monitoring

METHOD OF MEASUREMENT

The number of people (i.e., adults and children) with advanced HIV infection who currently receive antiretroviral combination therapy

can be calculated as follows:

A: Number of people receiving treatment at start of year

+

B: Number of people who commenced treatment in the last 12

months

C: Number of people for whom treatment was terminated in the last 12 months (including those who died).

For the purpose of this indicator, the number of people with advanced HIV infection is taken to be 15% of the total number of people currently infected. The latter is estimated using the most recent national sentinel surveillance data.

Numerator: Number of people with advanced HIV infection who

receive antiretroviral combination therapy according to the nationally approved treatment protocol (or

WHO/UNAIDS standards).

Denominator: Number of people with advanced HIV infection.

Private sector antiretroviral provision should be included in the calculation of the indicator wherever possible and the extent of such provision should be recorded separately.

The start and end dates of the period for which the antiretroviral combination therapy is given should be stated. Overlaps between reporting periods should be avoided wherever possible.

INTERPRETATION

- The indicator permits monitoring of trends in coverage, but does not attempt to distinguish between different forms of antiretroviral therapy, or to measure the cost, quality, or effectiveness of treatment provided. These will each vary within and between countries and are liable to change over time.
- The proportion of people with advanced stages of HIV infection will vary according to the stage of the HIV epidemic and the cumulative coverage and effectiveness of antiretroviral therapy among adults and children. The proportion currently recommended for use in calculating this indicator (15%) is a crude estimate and may be subject to revision. This figure is particularly relevant in situations where the current coverage of antiretroviral combination therapy is low.
- The degree of utilization of antiretroviral therapy will depend on cost relative to local incomes, service delivery infrastructure and quality, availability and uptake of VCT services, perceptions of effectiveness and possible side effects of treatment, etc.
- Preventative antiretroviral therapy for the purpose of prevention of MTCT and post-exposure prophylaxis are not included in this indicator.

ADDITIONAL INDICATOR

Percentage of health facilities with the capacity to deliver appropriate care to people living with HIV/AIDS

APPLICABILITY All countries

FREQUENCY Biennial

MEASUREMENT TOOL Health facility survey (UNAIDS/MEASURE (2000), National

AIDS Programmes: A guide to monitoring and evaluation)

UNGASS Indicators: National Return Form	Country:					
NPBI-5	HIV treatment: anti	iretroviral combination	therapy			
Data source: name						
Data source: type						
Data collection period (day/month/year)		to				
PART I: Data requirements	Males Public Private Total	Females Public Private Total	Both sexes Public Private Total			
NUMERATOR						
1. Number of people receiving ARV therapy at the beginning of the year ('000)						
2. Number of people who commenced treatment in the last 12 months ('000)						
3. Number of people receiving ARV therapy at the start of the year who died during the year ('000)						
4. Number of people for whom treatment was discontinued for other reasons ('000)						
5. Number of people receiving ARV therapy at the end of the year ('000)						
Calculate line 5 by adding lines 1 & 2 and then subtracting lines 3 & 4						
DENOMINATOR						
6. Number of people (adults and children) with HIV infection in the total population ('000)*						

- 7. Percentage of people with HIV who are at an advanced stage of infection**
- 8. Number of people with advanced HIV infection ('000)

Calculate line 8 by multiplying line 6 by line 7 and dividing the product by 100.

PART II:

Indicator computation

INDICATOR SCORES BY SEX AND HEALTH SECTOR

 Divide the number of people with advanced HIV infection currently receiving ARV therapy (line 5) by the total number with advanced HIV infection (line 8) and multiply the result by 100.

 $^{^{\}star}$ From national HIV sentinel surveillance estimates.

^{**} Use default estimate of 15% if locally-specific data are not available.

Injecting drug users: safe injecting and sexual practices

Safe injecting and sexual practices among injecting drug users (IDUs) are essential, even in countries where other modes of HIV transmission predominate, because: (i) the risk of HIV transmission among IDUs using contaminated injecting equipment is extremely high; and (ii) IDUs can provide a reservoir of infection from which HIV spreads (e.g., through sexual transmission) to the wider population.

Percentage of IDUs who have adopted behaviours that reduce transmission of HIV, i.e., who both avoid sharing injecting equipment and use condoms

PURPOSE To assess progress in preventing of IDU-associated HIV transmis-

sion

APPLICABILITY Countries where IDU is an established mode of HIV transmission

FREQUENCY Biennial

MEASUREMENT TOOL Time-location cluster sample survey or targeted snowball sample

survey (see behavioural surveillance survey (BSS) manual)

METHOD OF MEASUREMENT

Survey respondents are asked the following sequence of questions:

1. Have you injected drugs at any time in the last month?

2. If the answer to question 1 is "yes": Have you shared injecting equipment at any time in the last month?

3. Have you had sexual intercourse in the last month?

4. If the answers to questions 1 & 3 are *both* "yes": Did you (or your partner) use a condom when you last had sex?

Numerator: Number of respondents who report having never

shared injecting equipment during the last month and who also reported that a condom was used the

last time they had sex.

Denominator: Number of respondents who report injecting drugs

in the last month and having had sexual intercourse

in the last month.

Indicator scores are required for all IDUs and disaggregated by age:

those aged less than 25 and those over 25.

Wherever possible, data for IDUs should be collected through service organizations that traditionally work with these populations. Access to IDU survey participants as well as the data collected from

them must remain confidential.

INTERPRETATION

- Gaining access to IDUs poses a significant challenge. Thus, data obtained may not be based on a representative sample of the national IDU population. This will need to be borne in mind when interpreting results and especially when cross-country comparisons are made.
- The extent of IDU-associated HIV transmission within a country depends upon: (i) the size, stage and pattern of dissemination of the national HIV epidemic; (ii) the extent of injecting drug use; (iii) the degree to which IDUs use contaminated injecting equipment; and (iv) the patterns of sexual mixing and condom use among IDUs and between IDUs and the wider population. This indicator provides information on the third of these factors and partial information on the fourth.

UNGASS Indicators: National Return Form Country: NPBI-6 Injecting drug users: safe injecting and sexual practices Data source: name Data source: type Data collection period (day/month/year) to PART I: Males **Females Both sexes** <25 25+ 25+ <25 Data requirements All ages <25 All ages 25+ All ages NUMERATOR Instructions: Line 1: enter the number of respondents who stated that they had injected drugs in the last month ii) Line 2: enter the number of injecting drug users (line 1) who stated that they had shared drug injecting equipment in the last month iii) Line 3a: enter the number of all injecting drug users (line 1) who stated that they had had a sexual partner in the last month Line 3b: enter the number of injecting drug users who never shared injecting equipment in the last month (line 2) who stated that iv) they had had a sexual partner in the last month Line 4a: enter the number of all injecting drug users (line 1) who answered "yes" to the question in line 3 and who reported using condoms V) on the most recent occasion they had sex Line 4b: enter the number of injecting drug users who never shared injecting equipment in the last month (line 2) and answered "yes" vi) to the question in line 3 who reported using condoms on the most recent occasion they had sex 1. Injected drugs sometime in the last month 2. Injecting drug users in the last month who avoided sharing injecting equipment in the last month 3a. Injecting drug users in the last month who had sexual intercourse in the last month 3b. Injecting drug users in the last month who avoided sharing injecting equipment but had sexual intercourse in the last month 4a. Injecting drug users in the last month who used condoms during the most recent sexual intercourse (in the last month) 4b. Injecting drug users in the last month who never shared injecting equipment and used condoms during the most recent sexual intercourse (in the last month) 5. Avoided sharing injecting drug equipment and used condoms during most recent sexual intercourse in the last month (line 4b) 6. Avoided sharing injecting drug equipment and either avoided having sex or used condoms during most recent sexual intercourse (all in the last month) (line 2 - line 3b + line 4b)DENOMINATOR Numbers of respondents who reported having injected drugs in the last month and having had sex in the last month PART II: Indicator computation INDICATOR SCORES BY SEX AND AGE-GROUP

Divide the number of respondents who reported having avoided sharing injecting drug equipment and avoided having unprotected sex in the last month (line 5) by the total number who reported having injected drugs and having had sex in the last month (line 7) and multiply the result by 100

^{*} This information can be used to calculate the proportion of all recent injecting drug users (i.e., including those who did not have sex in the last month) who avoided all forms of behaviour associated with risk of HIV transmission within the last month. In calculating this proportion, line 1 (rather than line 7) must be used as the denominator.

Young people's knowledge about HIV prevention

HIV epidemics are perpetuated through primarily sexual transmission of infection to successive generations of young people. Sound knowledge about HIV/AIDS is an essential prerequisite—although often an insufficient condition—for adoption of behaviours that reduce the risk of HIV transmission.

Percentage of young people aged 15–24 who both correctly identify ways of preventing the sexual transmission of HIV and who reject major misconceptions about HIV transmission

PURPOSE To assess progress in achieving universal knowledge of the essential

facts about HIV transmission

APPLICABILITY All countries

TARGETS 2005 – 90% 2010 – 95%

FREQUENCY Preferred: biennial

Minimum: every 4-5 years

MEASUREMENT TOOL Population-based survey such as DHS, UNICEF MICS, BSS (youth

section)

METHOD OF MEASUREMENT

This indicator is constructed from responses to the following set of prompted questions:

1. Can the risk of HIV transmission be reduced by having sex with only one faithful, uninfected partner?

2. Can the risk of HIV transmission be reduced by using condoms?

3. Can a healthy-looking person have HIV?

4. Can a person get HIV from mosquito bites?

5. Can a person get HIV by sharing a meal with someone who is infected?

Numerator: Number of respondents (aged 15-24 years) who

gave the correct answers to all five questions.

Denominator: Number of respondents (15–24) who gave answers

(including "don't know") to all five questions.

Those who have never heard of HIV/AIDS should be excluded from the numerator but included in the denominator.

Indicator scores are required for all respondents aged 15–24 years *and* for males and females, separately, each according to urban/rural residence.

Scores for each of the individual questions (based on the same denominator) are required in addition to the score for the composite indicator.

INTERPRETATION

- The belief that a healthy-looking person cannot be infected with HIV is a common misconception that can result in unprotected sexual intercourse with infected partners.
- Correct knowledge of false modes of HIV transmission is as important as correct knowledge of true modes of transmission. For example, the belief that HIV is transmitted through mosquito bites can weaken motivation to adopt safe sexual behaviour, while the belief that HIV can be transmitted through sharing food reinforces the stigma faced by people living with AIDS.
- This indicator is particularly useful in countries where knowledge about HIV/AIDS is poor because it allows for easy measurement of incremental improvements over time. However, it is also important in other countries because it can be used to ensure that pre-existing high levels of knowledge are maintained.

NGASS Indicators: National Return Form		Country:	
NPBI-7	Young people's know	ledge about HIV prever	ntion
Data source: name			
Pata source: type			
ata collection period (day/month/year)		to	
ART I: vata requirements	Males Urban Rural National	Females Urban Rural National	Both sexes Urban Rural Nationa
UMERATOR			
Instructions: i) Select <i>only</i> those respondents who gave a ii) Lines 1-5: enter the number of respondents iii) Line 6: enter the number of respondents who selected the nu	s who gave the correct answer by cate	gory of respondent (i.e., male-urba	n, male-rural, etc.)
. HIV can be avoided by having sex with only one faithful, uninfected partner			
. HIV can be avoided by using condoms			
. A healthy-looking person can have HIV			
. A person can get HIV from mosquito bites	$\Box\Box$		$\Box\Box$
. A person can get HIV by sharing a meal with someone who is infected			
. Numbers of respondents giving the correct answers to <i>all</i> of the above 5 questions			
ENOMINATOR			
. Numbers of respondents (aged 15-24) who gave answers (including "don't know") to all of the above 5 questions or had never heard of AIDS			
Percentage of the national population (aged 15-24) who live in urban areas*			
ART II: dicator computation			
DICATOR SCORES BY SEX AND RESIDENCE			
Divide the number of respondents who gave the correct answers to all 5 questions (line 6) by the number who answered all 5 questions (line 7) and multiply the result by 100.			
IDICATOR SCORES BY SEX (NATIONAL)			
0 i) Coloulate the weighted everage of the urban			

10. i) Calculate the weighted average of the urban and rural indicator scores (line 9) using the percentages who live in urban and rural areas (line 8) as the weights.

ii) Take the simple average of the national scores for men and women to get the combined score.

Young people's condom use with non-regular partners

Consistent correct use of condoms within non-regular sexual partnerships substantially reduces the risk of sexual HIV transmission. This is especially important for young people who often experience the highest rates of HIV acquisition because they have low prior exposure to infection and (typically) relatively high numbers of non-regular sexual partnerships. Consistent condom use with non-regular sexual partners is important even in countries where HIV prevalence is low because it can prevent the spread of HIV in circumstances where non-regular relationships are common. Condom use is one measure of protection against HIV/AIDS; delaying age at first sex, reducing the number of non-regular sexual partners, and being faithful to one uninfected partner are equally important.

Percentage of young people aged 15–24 reporting the use of a condom during sexual intercourse with a non-regular sexual partner

PURPOSE To assess progress in preventing early-age exposure to HIV through

unprotected sex with non-regular partners

APPLICABILITY All countries

FREQUENCY Preferred: biennial

Minimum: every 4–5 years

MEASUREMENT TOOL

METHOD OF MEASUREMENT

Population-based survey such as DHS, UNICEF MICS, BSS (youth)

Survey respondents aged 15–24 years are asked whether they have commenced sexual activity (or otherwise this is inferred from responses to a question on age at first sex). Those who report sexual activity (whether currently married or unmarried) are then asked the following questions:

- 1. In the last 12 months, have you had sexual intercourse with a non-regular partner who was neither your spouse nor someone you were living with?
- 2. If the answer to question 1 is "yes": How many non-regular partners have you had sex with in the last 12 months?
- 3. If the answer to question 1 is "yes": Did you (or your partner) use a condom the last time you had sex with your most recent non-regular partner?

Numerator:

Number of the respondents (aged 15–24) who reported having had a non-regular (i.e., non-marital and non-cohabiting) sexual partner in the last 12 months who also reported that a condom was used the last time they had sex with this partner.

Denominator: Number of respondents (15–24) who reported having had a non-regular sexual partner in the last 12 months.

Indicator scores are required for all respondents aged 15–24 years *and* for males and females, separately, each according to urban/rural residence.

The percentage of young people who said they had started having sex and the percentage of these who had had a non-regular sexual partner in the last 12 months should be stated.

INTERPRETATION

- This indicator shows the extent to which condoms are used by young people who engage in non-regular sexual relationships. However, the broader significance of any given indicator score will depend upon the extent to which young people engage in such relationships. Thus, levels and trends should be interpreted carefully using the data obtained on percentages of young people who have started having sex and (of these) that have engaged in a non-regular partnership within the last year.
- The maximum protective effect of condoms in non-regular sexual intercourse is achieved when their use is consistent rather than occasional. The current indicator will provide an overestimate of the level of consistent condom use. However, the alternative method of asking whether condoms were always/sometimes/never used in sexual encounters with non-regular partners in a specified period is subject to recall bias. Furthermore, the trend in condom use in the most recent sexual act with a non-regular partner will generally reflect the trend in consistent condom use with such partners.
- Condom use is just one measure of protection against HIV/AIDS. Delaying first sex, reducing the number of non-regular sexual partners, and remaining faithful to one's non-infected partners are equally important. Thus, countries are strongly advised to report on the suggested additional indicators on median age at first sex and higher-risk sex in the last year, using data from the same survey instrument as the one proposed for calculating the core indicator.

ADDITIONAL INDICATORS

Median age at first sex

APPLICABILITY All countries

FREQUENCY 4–5 years

MEASUREMENT TOOL Population-based survey

METHOD OF MEASUREMENT

The median age at first sex is taken to be the age at which the cumulative proportion of those young men or young women currently aged 15–24 who have had penetrative sexual intercourse reaches 50% (UNAIDS/MEASURE (2000), *National AIDS Programmes: A*

guide to monitoring and evaluation).

Higher-risk sex in the last year

APPLICABILITY All countries

FREQUENCY 4–5 years

MEASUREMENT TOOL Population-based survey

METHOD OF MEASUREMENT

Percentage of 15–24-year-olds who have been sexually active in the last 12 months and have had sex with a non-marital, non-cohabiting partner in the same period (UNAIDS/MEASURE (2000), *National*

AIDS Programmes: A guide to monitoring and evaluation)

Condom use during last commercial sex

APPLICABILITY All countries
FREQUENCY 4–5 years

MEASUREMENT TOOL Time-location cluster sample survey or targeted snowball sample

survey

METHOD OF MEASUREMENT

Percentage of sex workers who report using a condom with their most recent client, of those surveyed having sex with any clients in the last 12 months (UNAIDS/MEASURE (2000), *National AIDS*

Programmes: A guide to monitoring and evaluation)

Condom use during last anal sex between men

APPLICABILITY Countries where men who have sex with men (MSM) are a recog-

nized vulnerable group

FREQUENCY 4–5 years

MEASUREMENT TOOL Time-location cluster sample survey or targeted snowball sample

survey

METHOD OF MEASUREMENT

Percentage of men or their partners who used a condom during last anal sex with a male partner in the last 6 months (UNAIDS/MEASURE (2000), *National AIDS Programmes: A guide to moni-*

toring and evaluation)

UNGASS Indicators: National Return Form				(Country:				
NPBI-8	Young	g people	's condo	m use w	ith non	-regular	partner	·s*	
Data source: name									
Data source: type									
Data collection period (day/month/year)				to					
PART I:	Males			Females			Both se	xes	
Data requirements	Urban	Rural	National	Urban	Rural	National	Urban	Rural	National
Instructions: i) Calculate median age at first sex (UNAIDS/MEA ii) Select only those respondents (aged 15-24) whii) Line 2: enter the number of respondents who siv) Line 3: enter the number of respondents who siv) Line 4: enter the number of respondents who siv) Line 5: enter the	no gave ans stated that t stated that t stated that t eone the re	wers (exclused hey had contain hey had had had had spondent weets	iding "don't mmenced se d any form o d a non-regu vas not marr	know") to <i>a</i> xual activity f sexual rela lar sexual p ied to and n	// of quest / ationship i artner in ti ot cohabit	ions 2 to 5 be in the last 12 he last 12 mo ing with at th	elow months onths ne time they		
5. Had a non-regular sexual partner within the last 12 months and used condoms the last time had sex with this partner									
DENOMINATOR									
6. Numbers of respondents (aged 15-24) who reported having had a non-regular sexual partner in the last 12 months (i.e., line 4 above)									
7. Percentage of the national population (aged 15-24) who live in urban areas**									
PART II: Indicator computation									

INDICATOR SCORES BY SEX AND RESIDENCE

8. Divide the number of respondents who reported using condoms with their last non-regular partner (line 5) by the number who reported having had a non-regular sexual partner in the last 12 months (line 6) and multiply the result by 100.

INDICATOR SCORES BY SEX (NATIONAL)

- 9. i) Calculate the weighted average of the urban and rural indicator scores (line 8) using the percentages who live in urban and rural areas (line 7) as the weights.

 ii) Take the simple surveys of the particular area.
 - ii) Take the simple average of the national scores for men and women to get the combined score.

* The data collected here also provide the information	rs recommended in the UNGASS indicator.	
		quidelines

^{**} From National Census Office statistics (as for NPBI-7).

Orphans' school attendance

HIV/AIDS is claiming the lives of ever-growing numbers of adults just when they are forming families and bringing up children. As a result, orphan prevalence is rising steadily in many countries, while fewer relatives within the prime adult ages mean that orphaned children face an increasingly uncertain future. Orphanhood is frequently accompanied by prejudice and increased poverty—factors that can further jeopardize children's chances of completing school education and may lead to the adoption of survival strategies that increase vulnerability to HIV. It is important, therefore, to monitor the extent to which AIDS support programmes succeed in securing the educational opportunities of orphaned children.

Ratio of current school attendance among orphans to that among non-orphans aged 10–14

PURPOSETo assess progress in preventing relative disadvantage in school at-

tendance among orphans versus non-orphans.

APPLICABILITY All countries

FREQUENCY Preferred: biennial

Minimum: every 4–5 years

MEASUREMENT TOOL Population-based survey such as DHS, UNICEF Multiple Indicator

Cluster surveys (MICS) or other representative survey

METHOD OF MEASUREMENT

Ratio of the current school attendance rate of children aged 10–14 both of whose *biological* parents have died to the current school attendance rate of children aged 10–14 whose parents are both still alive and who currently live with at least one biological parent.

Orphans' schools attendance (1)

Numerator: Number of children who have lost both parents and

are still in school.

Denominator: Number of children who have lost both parents.

Non-orphans' school attendance (2)

Numerator: Number of children, both of whose parents are still

alive, who live with at least one parent and who are

still in school.

Denominator: Number of children whose parents are both still

alive and who live with at least one parent.

Calculate the ratio of (1) to (2)

Indicator scores are required for all children aged 10–14 years and for boys *and* girls, separately. Where possible, the indicator should also be calculated by single year of age (see section on interpretation).

The minimum number of orphaned 10–14-year-old children needed to calculate this indicator is 50 (see section on interpretation).

INTERPRETATION

• The definitions of an orphan and non-orphan used here, i.e., child aged 10–14 years at last birthday, both of whose parents are still alive, have died respectively, are chosen so that the maximum effect of disadvantage resulting from orphanhood can be identified and tracked over time. The age range of 10–14 years is used because younger orphans are more likely to have only recently lost their parents, so any detrimental effect on their education will have had little

time to materialize. However, orphaned children are typically older than non-orphaned children because the parents of younger children have had less time to die and older children are more likely to have left school. Thus, the value of this indicator will tend to be slightly greater than 1, even when orphans suffer no relative disadvantage.

- Typically, the data used to measure this indicator will be taken from household-based surveys.
 Children not recorded in such surveys, e.g., those living in institutions or on the street, generally are more disadvantaged and are more likely to be orphans. Thus, the indicator will tend to understate the relative disadvantage in educational attendance experienced by orphaned children.
- The indicator does not distinguish children who lost their parents due to HIV/AIDS from those whose parents died of other causes. In countries with smaller epidemics or in the early stages of epidemics, most orphans will have lost their parents due to non-HIV-related causes. Any differences in the treatment of orphans according to the known or suspected cause of death of their parents could influence trends in the indicator. However, to date, there is little evidence that such differences in treatment are common.
- The indicator provides no information on actual numbers of orphaned children. The restrictions to double orphans and to 10–14-year-olds mean that estimates may be based on small numbers in countries with small or nascent epidemics.

ADDITIONAL INDICATOR

Percentage of children less than 15 years old who are orphans

This indicator provides information on trends in the extent of the orphan burden within a population. Loss of the father, of the mother, and of both parents may each have different implications. Similarly, orphanhood at different ages is liable to carry different consequences. Ideally, therefore, data should be collected by the kind of orphanhood (maternal, paternal and double) and by five-year age-group as well as by gender of child.

APPLICABILITY All countries
FREQUENCY 4–5 years

MEASUREMENT TOOL Population-based household survey

METHOD OF Number of children in orphan category and age group divided by MEASUREMENT number of children in age group times 100

(UNAIDS/MEASURE (2000), National AIDS Programmes:

A guide to monitoring and evaluation)

NPBI-9a

Data source: name

	Country	:	
Orphans' school	attendance (boys)		
	to		
Children in school	Total children	Children in sch	ool: % of total
Urban Rural	Urban Rural	Urban Rural	National*
, , ,	·		

Data source: type			
Date collection period (day/month/year)		to	
Indicator of orphan school attendance	Children in school Urban Rural	Total children Urban Rural	Children in school: % of total Urban Rural National*
URBAN & RURAL COVERAGE 1. Enter percentages of all urban & rural households in the country that were sampled in the population survey			
NON-ORPHANS' SCHOOL ATTENDANCE			
2. Non-orphans (both parents alive)			
Ages: 10 11 12 13 14 10-14			
ORPHANS' SCHOOL ATTENDANCE			
3. All orphans (one parent dead)			
Ages: 10 11 12 13 14 10-14			
4. Paternal orphans (only father dead)			
Ages: 10 11 12 13 14 10-14			
5. Maternal orphans (only mother dead)			
Ages: 10 11 12 13 14 10-14			
6. Double orphans Ages: 10 11 12 13 14 10-14			
7. Divide the rate of school attendance among double orphans (line 6) by the rate for non-orphans (line 2) and multiply the result by 100.			
INDICATOR SCORES ADJUSTED FOR DIFFERENCES IN AGE* 8. Age-standardized ratios			

^{*} National rates will be computed by adjusting for any differences in the coverage of urban and rural households in the survey (i.e., using the information given in line 1) and in numbers of orphaned and non-orphaned children per household in urban and rural areas. The numbers of double orphans (line 6) should equal the number of all orphans (line 3) minus the numbers of paternal (line 4) and maternal (line 5) orphans.

Country:	
ndance (girls)	
to	
otal children ban Rural	Children in school: % of total Urban Rural National*

NPBI-9b	Orphans' school attendance (girls)	
Data source: name		
Data source: type		
Date collection period (day/month/year)	to	
Indicator of orphan school attendance	Children in schoolTotal childrenUrbanRuralUrbanRural	Children in school: % of total Urban Rural National*
URBAN AND RURAL COVERAGE		
Enter percentages of all urban and rural households in the country that were sampled in the population survey		
NON-ORPHANS' SCHOOL ATTENDANCE		
2. Non-orphans Ages: 10 11 12 13 14 10-14		
ORPHANS' SCHOOL ATTENDANCE		
3. All orphans (one parent dead) Ages: 10 11 12 13 14 10-14		
4. Paternal orphans (only father dead) Ages: 10 11 12 13 14 10-14		
5. Maternal orphans (only mother dead) Ages: 10 11 12 13 14 10-14		
6. Double orphans Ages: 10 11 12 13 14 10-14		
INDICATOR SCORES BY RESIDENCE*		
7. Divide the rate of school attendance among double orphans (line 6) by the rate for non-orphans (line 2) and multiply the result by 100.		
INDICATOR SCORES ADJUSTED FOR DIFFERENCES IN AGE* 8. Age-standardized ratios		

^{*} National rates will be computed by adjusting for any differences in the coverage of urban and rural households in the survey (i.e., using the information given in line 1) and in numbers of orphaned and non-orphaned per household in urban and rural areas. The numbers of double orphans (line 6) should equal the number of all orphans (line 3) minus the numbers of paternal (line 4) and maternal (line 5) orphans.

IMPACT INDICATORS

Percentage of young people aged 15-24 who are HIV-infected*

(Target: 25% in most affected countries by 2005 25% reduction globally by 2010)

Percentage of HIV-infected infants born to HIV-infected mothers

(Target: 20% reduction by 2005; 50% reduction by 2010)

^{*} Millennium Development Goal indicator

Reduction in HIV prevalence

The ultimate goal in the fight against HIV/AIDS is to eradicate HIV infection. As the highest rates of new HIV infections typically occur among young adults, more than 180 countries have committed themselves to achieving major reductions in HIV prevalence among young people—a 25% reduction in the most affected countries by 2005, and a 25% reduction globally by 2010.

A. Core:

Percentage of young people aged 15-24 who are HIV-infected

PURPOSE To assess progress towards eradicating HIV infection

APPLICABILITY Countries with generalized epidemics

TARGETS 2005 – 25% reduction (most affected countries)

2010 - 50% reduction

FREQUENCY Biennial

MEASUREMENT TOOL WHO guidelines for HIV sentinel surveillance

METHOD OF MEASUREMENT

This indicator is calculated using data from pregnant women attending ANCs in HIV sentinel surveillance sites in the capital city, other urban areas and rural areas.

Numerator: Number of ANC attendees (aged 15-24) tested

whose HIV test results are positive.

Denominator: Number of ANC attendees (15–24) tested for their

HIV infection status.

Median figures should be used for other urban and rural areas.

Indicator scores should be given for the whole age range (15–24 years) and disaggregated by five-year age group (i.e., 15–19-year-olds and 20–24-year-olds).

The proportions of the total female population aged 15–24 living in the capital city, in other urban areas and in rural areas should be provided so that national estimates can be calculated, where possible.

INTERPRETATION

• HIV prevalence at any given age is the difference between the cumulative numbers of people who have become infected with HIV up to this age and the number who died expressed as a percentage of the total number alive at this age. At older ages, changes in HIV prevalence are slow to reflect changes in the rate of new infections (HIV incidence) because the average duration of infection is long. Furthermore, declines in HIV prevalence can reflect saturation of infection among those individuals most vulnerable and rising mortality rather than behavioural change. At young ages, trends in HIV prevalence are a better indication of recent trends in HIV incidence and risk behaviour. Thus, reductions in HIV incidence associated with genuine behavioural change should first become detectable in HIV prevalence figures for the 15–19-year-old age group. Where available, parallel behavioural surveillance survey (BSS) data should be used to aid interpretation of trends in HIV prevalence.

- In countries where first sexual intercourse occurs at an older age and/or levels of contraception are high, HIV prevalence among pregnant 15–24-year-old women will differ from that among all women in the age group.
- This indicator gives a fairly good estimate of relatively recent trends in HIV infection in locations where the epidemic is heterosexually driven. It is less reliable as an indicator of HIV epidemic trends in locations where most infections remain temporarily confined to subpopulations with high-risk behaviours.

OR

B. Alternative:

HIV prevalence among sex workers and their clients, injecting drug users, men having sex with men

PURPOSE To assess progress towards eradicating HIV infection.

APPLICABILITY Countries with low HIV prevalence or concentrated epidemics,

where it is not recommended to conduct routine surveillance among

pregnant women.

FREQUENCY Biennial

MEASUREMENT TOOL UNAIDS/WHO Second Generation Surveillance Guidelines; FHI

guidelines on sampling in population groups

METHOD OF MEASUREMENT

This indicator is calculated using data from HIV tests conducted among members of chosen population groups in the capital city.

Numerator: Number of members of population groups tested

whose HIV test results are positive.

Denominator: Number of members of population groups tested for

their HIV infection.

This indicator should be reported for the capital city only, to avoid biases in trends over time. In recent years, many countries have expanded the number of sentinel sites to include more rural ones, leading to biased trends resulting from aggregation of data from these sites.

INTERPRETATION

- An understanding of how the sampled population(s) relate to any larger population(s) sharing similar risk behaviours is critical to the interpretation of this indicator.
- Due to the difficulties in access to the high-risk population groups, biases in serosurveillance data are likely to be far more significant than in data from a more generalized population, such as women attending antenatal clinics.
- The period during which people are sex workers, sex workers' clients, injecting drug users or men having sex with men is more closely associated with the risk of acquiring HIV than age. Therefore, it is desirable not to restrict analysis to young people but to report on other age groups as well.
- Trends in HIV prevalence among these population groups in the capital city will provide a useful indication of HIV prevention programme performance in the capital city but will not be representative of the situation in the country as a whole.

LINICAS	C Indicato	re. Nation	al Datum	Form

UNGASS Indicators: National Return Form	Country:						
II-1A	Reduction	on in HIV pre	evalence				
Data source: name							
Data source: type							
Data collection period (day/month/year)			to				
PART I: Data requirements	Capital cit	y ested HIV+%	Other urban :		Rural HIV+	Tested	HIV+%
1. 15-year-olds							
2. 16-year-olds	H		一一				
3. 17-year-olds	Hi						
4. 18-year-olds	Hi						
5. 19-year-olds							
6. 20-year-olds							
7. 21-year-olds							
8. 22-year-olds			一一				
9. 23-year-olds	Пi						
10. 24-year-olds							
11. Percentage of the national population (aged 15-24) who live in capital city, other urban & rural areas*							
PART II: Indicator computation							
INDICATOR SCORES BY URBAN/RURAL RESIDENCE							
12. 15-19-year-olds							
13. 20-24-year-olds					$\overline{\Box}$		

14. 15-24-year-olds

^{*} From National Census Office statistics

7	/λ	IG	100	Indica	tore.	Nationa	l Return	Form
ι.	//\	/(T/	4いい	ınaıca	tors:	матопа	ı kenirn	rorm

Country:

II-1B

Reduction in HIV prevalence

Data requirements	Data source:			Capital	city	
Complete only those sections that are considered relevant to the country	Name	Type	Collection period	HIV+	Tested	HIV+%
Female sex workers		1	from to			
1. Peniale sea workers			1 1			
2. Clients of female sex workers			from to			
3. Injecting drug users			from		一	
			/ /			
4. Men who have sex with men			from to			
5. Other (specify):			from to			
(-F)).			/ /			

Reduction in mother-to-child transmission

In high-income countries, strategies such as antiretroviral treatment during pregnancy and following birth and use of breastfeeding substitutes have greatly reduced the rate of mother-to-child HIV transmission. In developing countries, significant difficulties exist in implementing these strategies due to constraints in accessing, affording and using VCT and reproductive health and maternal- and child-health services that offer MTCT prevention support. Nevertheless, substantial reductions in MTCT can be achieved in these settings through approaches such as short-course antiretroviral prophylaxis.

Percentage of HIV-infected infants born to HIV-infected mothers

PURPOSE To assess progress towards eliminating mother-to-child HIV trans-

mission

APPLICABILITY All countries

TARGETS 2005 - 20% reduction

2010 – 50% reduction

FREQUENCY Biennial

MEASUREMENT TOOL Estimates based on programme coverage

METHOD OF MEASUREMENT

The indicator can be calculated by taking the weighted average of the probabilities of MTCT for pregnant women receiving and not receiving antiretroviral, the weights being the proportions of women receiving and not receiving ARV, respectively. Expressed as a simple mathematical formula:

Indicator score = $\{ T*(1-e) + (1-T) \} * v$

where:

T = proportion of HIV-infected pregnant women provided with antiretroviral treatment

 $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{M}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{T}$ rate in the absence of any treatment

e = efficacy of treatment provided

T is simply national programme and behaviour indicator #4. Default values of 25% and 50%, respectively, can be used for v and e. However, where scientific estimates of the efficacy of the specific forms of antiretroviral treatment (e.g., nevirapine) used in the country are available, these can be used in applying the formula. When this is done, the values of these estimates should be recorded.

The most common forms of treatment provided during the last 12 months should be noted.

INTERPRETATION

 This indicator focuses on prevention of MTCT of HIV through increased provision of antiretroviral prophylaxis. Thus, the effect of breastfeeding on MTCT of HIV is ignored and the indicator may yield underestimates of true rates of MTCT in countries where long periods of breastfeeding are common. Similarly, in countries where other forms of prevention of MTCT of HIV (e.g., caesarean section) are widely practised, the indicator will typically provide overestimates of MTCT. For these reasons, trends in this indicator may not reflect overall trends in MTCT of HIV.

• National programme and behaviour indicator # 4 may provide a poor estimate for T in circumstances where usage of antenatal clinic services is low.

Appendices

UNGASS Indicators: National Return Form	Country:	
II-2	Reduction in mother-to-child transmission	
Data source: name		
Data source: type	PROGRAMME MONITORING	
Data collection period (day/month/year)	to	
PART I: Data requirements	% of total	
1. Proportion of HIV+ pregnant women provided with ARV treatment*	т	
2. MTCT rate in the absence of any treatment (%)	v	25.0
3. Efficacy of treatment provided (proportionate reduction in MTCT rate)	e	0.5
List below the 3 most common forms of treatment provided during the last 12 months and the percentages of all treatment that each represents.		

4. Calculate the indicator score using the formula: { $T^*(1\text{-}e)$ + (1-T) } * ν

PART II:

Indicator computation

INDICATOR SCORE

^{*} From national programme and behaviour indicator 4.

Reporting schedule for core indicators for implementation of the Declaration of Commitment on HIV/AIDS

	Global commitment and action	National commitment and action	National programme and behaviour	Impact
2003	Indicators # 1–5	Indicators # 1–2	Indicators # 1–9	Indicators # 1–2
2004	√			
2005*	V	V	Indicators # 1–9	√
2006	√			
2007	√	√	Indicators # 1–6	V
2008	√			
2009	√	V	Indicators # 1–6	√
2010*	√		Indicator # 7	√

- Countries are encouraged to report on all national indicators (national commitment and action; national programme and behaviour; impact) in 2003, using existing data. These figures will then be used as baseline estimates in the monitoring process.
- Progress on national programme and behaviour indicators 1–6 and impact indicators 1–2 should be reported biennially—that is, in 2005, 2007 and 2009.
- Countries are encouraged to carry out population-based surveys required for national programme and behaviour indicators (7, 8, 9) in 2003 for reporting in 2005.

^{*} The Declaration of Commitment has listed specific targets to be achieved by 2005 and 2010 for national programme and behaviour indicator 7 and impact indicators 1 and 2.

Consultation/preparation process for the National Report on monitoring the follow-up to the Declaration of Commitment on HIV/AIDS

1)	Which institutions/ent	ities were responsible for	r filling out the inc	dicators forms?
	a) NAC or equivalent		Yes	No
	b) NAP		Yes	No
	c) Others		Yes	No
	(please specify)		140	110
2)	With inputs from			
	Ministries:			
		Education	Yes	No
		Health	Yes	No
		Labour	Yes	No
		Foreign Affairs	Yes	No
		Others	Yes	No
		(please specify)		
	Civil society organizat	tions	Yes	No
	People living with HIV	V/AIDS	Yes	No
	Private sector		Yes	No
	United Nations organi	zations	Yes	No
	Bilaterals		Yes	No
	International NGOs		Yes	No
	Others (please specify)		Yes	No
3)	Was the report discuss	ed in a large forum?	Yes	No
4)	Are the survey results	stored centrally?	Yes	No
5)	Are data available for	public consultation?	Yes	No
Nan	ne / title:			
Date	::			
Sign	ature:			

National Composite Policy Index Questionnaire

Strategic plan

1.	Has your country developed multisectoral strategies to combat HIV/AIDS?
	(Multisectoral strategies should include, but not be limited to, the health, education, labour,
	and agriculture sectors.)

Yes	No	N/A
Comments:		

2. Has your country integrated HIV/AIDS into its general development plans (such as its National Development Plans, United Nations Development Assistance Framework, Poverty Reduction Strategy Papers and Common Country Assessments)?

Yes	No	N/A
Comments:		

3. Does your country have a functional national multisectoral HIV/AIDS management/coordination body? (Such a body must have terms of reference or equivalent, defined membership, action plans and staffing support, and should have met at least once in the last 12 months.)

Yes	No	N/A	
Comments:			

4. Does your country have a functional national HIV/AIDS body that promotes interaction among government, the private sector and civil society? (Such a body must have terms of reference or equivalent, defined membership, action plans and staffing support, and should have met at least once in the last 12 months.)

Yes	No	N/A
Comments:		

Appendix	

Yes	No	N/A
Comments:		
las vour country	evaluated the impact of HIV/	AIDS on its socioeconomic status
ing purposes?	evariated the impact of the vi-	TIDS on its socioeconomic status
Yes	No	N/A
Yes Comments:	No	N/A
	No	N/A
Comments: Does your country	y have a strategy that addresse	es HIV/AIDS issues among its nat
Comments: Does your country	I I	es HIV/AIDS issues among its nat
Comments:	y have a strategy that addresse	es HIV/AIDS issues among its nat

Prevention

Yes	No	N/A
Comments:		
Ooes your country on for young peop		oting reproductive and sexual he
Yes	No	N/A
		romotes IEC and other health in
or groups with hig mited to, IDUs, M	h or increasing rates of HIV i ISM, sex workers, youth, mob	nfection? (Such groups include, ile populations and prison inmat
or groups with hig mited to, IDUs, M	h or increasing rates of HIV i ISM, sex workers, youth, mot	nfection? (Such groups include,
or groups with hig	h or increasing rates of HIV i ISM, sex workers, youth, mot	nfection? (Such groups include, ile populations and prison inmat

	1		2
Αb	pend	1X	3

5.	Does your country have a policy or strategy to expand access, including among vulnerable
	groups, to essential preventative commodities? (These commodities include, but are not lim-
	ited to, condoms, sterile needles and HIV tests.)

Yes	No	N/A
If yes, please list		
Groups:	Commoditie	s:
Comments:		

6. Does your country have a policy or strategy to reduce mother-to-child HIV transmission?

Yes	No	N/A
Comments:		

Yes	No	N/A
Comments:		,
eople identified as b		nat protect against discrimination to HIV/AIDS (i.e., groups such and prison inmates)?
Yes	No	N/A
Comments.		
-	ve a policy to ensure equa	-
Does your country ha		al access for men and women to page 1879.
Ooes your country had care, with emphase Yes Comments:	No	N/A HIV/AIDS research protocols invo

Care and support

1.	Does your country have a policy or strategy to promote comprehensive HIV/AIDS care and
	support, with emphasis on vulnerable groups? (Comprehensive care includes, but is not lim-
	ited to, VCT, psychosocial care, access to medicines, and home and community-based care.)

Yes	No	N/A
If yes, please list		
Groups:	Commodities	3:
Comments:		

2. Does your country have a policy or strategy to ensure or improve access to HIV/AIDS-related medicines, with emphasis on vulnerable groups? (HIV/AIDS-related medicines include antiretrovirals and drugs for the prevention and treatment of opportunistic infections and palliative care.)

Yes	No		N/A
If yes, please list		•	
Groups:	Commodities:		
Comments:			

3. Does your country have a policy or strategy to address the additional needs of orphans and other vulnerable children?

Yes	No	N/A	
Comments:			

Selected bibliography

UNAIDS/MEASURE (2000) *National AIDS Programmes: A guide to monitoring and evaluation.* Geneva: UNAIDS. http://www.cpc.unc.edu/measure/guide/guide.html

UNAIDS (2000) Protocol for the identification of discrimination against people living with AIDS. Geneva: UNAIDS.

UNAIDS/WHO (1999) Evaluation of a National AIDS Programme: A methods package—prevention of HIV infection. Geneva: UNAIDS.

UNAIDS/Family Health International (2000) Second generation surveillance for HIV: The next decade. Geneva: UNAIDS.

Family Health International (2000) *Behavioural surveillance surveys: Guidelines for repeated behavioural surveys in populations at risk of HIV*. Arlington, USA: Family Health International. http://www.fhi.org/en/aids/wwdo/wwd12a.html#anchor545312

WHO (2002) *Indicators for monitoring and evaluation of HIV/AIDS care and support programme* (draft), Geneva: WHO.

www.measuredhs.com/data (a useful indicator database)

The purpose of these guidelines is to provide National AIDS Councils (or equivalent) with technical guidance on how to measure the core indicators for the implementation of the Declaration of Commitment on HIV/AIDS, adopted by Member States of the United Nations during the United Nations General Assembly Special Session on HIV/AIDS in June 2001. These guidelines provide technical guidance on the detailed specifications of the core indicators, on the information required and the basis of their construction, and on their interpretation. The guidelines also aim to maximize the validity, internal consistency and comparability across countries and over time of the indicator estimates obtained. In particular, the guidelines aim to ensure consistency in the types of data and methods of calculation employed.



UNAIDS 20 avenue Appia 1211 Geneva 27, Switzerland Telephone: (+41) 22 791 36 66 Fax: (+41) 22 791 41 87

E-mail: unaids@unaids.org Internet: http://www.unaids.org